

# Comparisons of zein profiles from inbred, $F_1$ , and $F_2$ generations of maize as revealed by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography

# J. S. C. Smith and O. S. Smith

Department of Research Specialists and Data Management, respectively, Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., 7301 NW 62nd Avenue, Johnston, IA 50131, USA

Received October 20, 1987; Accepted January 23, 1988 Communicated by A. R. Hallauer

Summary. Chi-square analyses were performed on zein chromatographic profiles of inbred lines, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, and reciprocal  $F_1$  seed for 10 hybrids of maize (Zea mays L.). The objective was to test the goodness of fit of observed profiles with those expected on the basis that the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations represent a 2:1 and 1:1 addition of female: male parents of the  $F_1$ , respectively. From 40 available comparisons, 39 showed no difference between the observed chromatograms and those that were expected on the basis of four models that were tested. The one exception was due to closely eluting peaks that were revealed as shoulders and not recorded as separate entities. Chromatographic profiles of inbreds,  $F_1$ , and bulk F<sub>2</sub> seed sources can be accurately simulated. Even though the chromatographic profile of the  $F_1$  closely resembled that of the female parent, profiles of hybrids with common female but different male parents were distinguishable. The lack of novel peaks in both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations compared with the inbred line thereby revealed no unpredictable interaction among zein loci. Zein protein data can be useful in registration, certification, and in the checking of hybrid pedigree especially when used in concert with isozymic data.

Key words: Genotype – Pedigree – Plant Variety Protection – Allozyme – Electrophoresis – Chi Square Analysis – Germplasm Security – Genetic Diversity

#### Introduction

The ability of data to provide genotypic identification of inbred lines and hybrids of maize (Zea mays L.) means that these data could be useful for registration, certification, patenting, and for the checking of pedigrees. Electrophoresis of isozymes and isoelectric focusing or reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) of zein endosperm proteins are techniques that individually afford the unique characterization of numerous inbred lines and hybrids of maize (Stuber and Goodman 1983; Wilson 1984; Bietz 1985; Smith and Smith 1986; Smith 1988). Isozymic data have been used in patent application for a maize inbred line (Troyer 1986). Chromatographic and isozymic data persuaded a U.S. federal court that seed of a proprietary line of maize had been misappropriated and used (United States District Court 1987).

The presence of zein endosperm proteins in an unequal biparental dosage of 2 (female):1 (male) suggests that these data could be of additional and complimentary usage to isozymic data in the identification of hybrid pedigrees. First, visual inspection of the chromatographic profile of one hybrid  $N28 \times Mp490$  showed close agreement to a profile that was computer simulated using a 2:1 addition of the female: male parental profiles (Bietz 1985). Thus, zein chromatographic data could be used to indicate female parents of hybrids. Isozymic data do not permit the separate identification of parent lines of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids provided seed lots have been produced under strict standards of pollen control. Second, F<sub>2</sub> bulk field samples should contain an equal contribution of zein alleles from the parent lines of a single cross hybrid. Thus, Bietz (1985) postulated that a chromatographic profile from a bulk  $F_2$  seed lot should be equivalent to a 1:1 addition of the profiles of the two parents of the original single-cross hybrid. Isozymic data have reduced utility to define pedigrees when only  $F_2$  seed produced on F1 plants is available. Segregation of isozyme alleles removes the possibility that the independent contributions of the single cross parents can be distinguished and outcrossing can provide additional isozyme alleles to those present in the single cross parents. However, F<sub>2</sub> zein chromatographic profiles could readily be simulated





(above left) inbred parents of hybrid No. 3R (below). c Chromatograms of the male (above right) and female (above left) inbred parents of hybrid No. 3 together with that of the F<sub>4</sub> from a bulk seed sample (below). d Chromatograms of hybrid No. 3 (above left) and 3R (above right) together with that of the F<sub>2</sub> from a bulk seed sample (below)

(continued overleaf)



from available inbred profiles and then compared with the observed  $F_2$  profile. The observed  $F_2$  zein chromatographic profile would also be buffered against expression of any foreign alleles contributed by outcrossing. All zein alleles carried by pollen would be contributed by the male and, therefore, constitute an upper maximum



Fig. 1 (continued)

bound of 33% of the observed profile. It is inconceivable that this level of contamination would normally occur.

Verification that chromatographic profiles of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids do routinely represent a 2:1 addition of female:male parental chromatograms and that extracts from F<sub>2</sub> bulk seed samples do give chromatograms equivalent to a 1:1 addition of the single-cross parents is advisable. RP-HPLC has a very high power of resolution (Bietz 1985) and zeins may exhibit unpredictable interactions mediated through structural and regulatory genes. Zein is coded by a multigenic set containing as many as 15 families of genes with possibly up to 100 alleles per haploid genome (Viotti et al. 1979). Zein structural genes are located as loosely linked clusters on chromosome arms 4S, 4L, and 7S (Soave and Salamini 1983). A twodimensional gel electrophoretic profile of zein proteins showed the inbred line Mo17 to have some 25 zein proteins that had counterparts in one or both parent lines, C103 and CI.187-2. However, one spot did not have a counterpart in either parent. Wall et al. (1984), therefore, suggested that mutation or recombination could have affected the zein profile of Mo17.

The objective of this study was, therefore, to statistically test the fit of observed and expected chromatograms for several inbred lines,  $F_1$ 's, reciprocal  $F_1$ 's, and one set of  $F_2$  generations using a broad base of germ-



plasm which is representative of that used across the Corn Belt of the United States. Statistical analysis of data from several inbred lines and hybrids was deemed necessary because of the aforementioned complexities of zein inheritance, the detail of experimental resolution, and the potential practical import of zein and isozymic data in cultivar identification and pedigree analysis.



Fig. 1 (continued overleaf)

## Materials and methods

Seed of 10 commercially available Pioneer brand single-cross hybrids (coded 1-10) and their reciprocals were produced at Johnston, Iowa in the summer of 1985. These hybrids are currently widely grown in the U.S. Corn Belt and encompass a range of maturities from 99 to 131 days from emergence to black layer. The 10 single-crosses were comprised of 11 inbred lines that included "Iowa Stiff Stalk Synthetic", "Lancaster Sure Crop", and "Iodent" backgrounds in their pedigrees. At the same time the F1 and reciprocal crosses were made, a minimum of five plants of each  $F_1$  in a separate planting was sib-pollinated from commercially available  $F_1$  seed to produce  $F_2$  seed. Thus, for each F<sub>1</sub>, five seed samples were available for laboratory analysis: 1) female inbred; 2)  $F_1$  female × male (commercially available hybrid seed); 3) male inbred; 4)  $F_1R$  (reciprocal of commercially available hybrid seed); and 5) F<sub>2</sub> (commercially available F<sub>1</sub> that was sib-pollinated). Seed sources of inbred lines used to produce both  $F_1$  and subsequent  $F_2$  seed traced back, within two generations of seed increase, to original handpollinated sources. Inbred seed increases were not made in the same season that other generations of seed were produced. However, increases made subsequent to hand-pollination were performed using strict isolation, planting, roguing, harvesting, and conditioning standards to protect against contamination. Thus, although source differences between inbred and other generations of seed could contribute to differences between expected and observed chromatograms, these differences have been found to be small and relatively insignificant (Smith and Smith 1986).



Within each inbred,  $F_1$ , and  $F_2$  generation, five ears were bulked. Twenty to 25 kernels of each generation were bulked and ground to flour (Smith and Smith 1986). Zeins and alcohol soluble glutelins were extracted at 25 °C with vigorous shaking in 55% 2-propanol, 1% 2-mercaptoethanol (1:5; w/v) for 2.25 h, centrifuged for 12 min at 12,000 g and the supernatant filtered through a 0.5 µm membrane. Ten microliter of each sample were analyzed within 24 h of extraction. Samples were injected using a WISP 710B injector (Waters Assoc.) and pro-



Fig. 1 (continued)

teins were eluted at 1 ml/min during a linear gradient from 48% to 55% acetonitrile plus 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid using a Brownlee aquapore RP-300 column at 70 °C with 15 min equilibration between runs. Eluent was monitored at 210 nm and spectrophotometric data were collected each 0.5 s. Data were stored and integrated using a chromatography software package supplied by Nelson Analytical (version 3.5) that was run on an IBM AT personal computer.

Peak areas were normalized by disregarding all peaks eluting prior to 5 min (solvent peaks) and expressing individual peak areas as a percentage of the remaining chromatogram. Peak numbers were assigned to the peaks for each sample such that the same peaks from different samples of the control genotype (B73), a publicly available and widely used line in the U.S., which was periodically injected, had the same peak numbers. Additional tests of the repeatability of the resolving power of the column were available since some of the hybrids had one inbred parent in common and thus replicate injections of other inbred lines, in addition to those provided by the control genotype, could be visually compared to monitor the activity of the column. All comparisons showed the column to be maintaining repeatable and detailed separations of zeins and alcohol soluble glutelins. A linear interpolation was used to adjust the sample peaks when times for common peaks of the controls differed by more than 15 s. Peaks from two samples were considered to be the same if they eluted, after adjustment, within 15 s of each other.

Chi-square values for were computed from the observed and expected values based upon four models. These were 1)  $F_1 =$  $\frac{2}{3}$  F +  $\frac{1}{3}$  M; 2)  $F_2 = \frac{1}{2}$  F +  $\frac{1}{2}$  M; 3)  $F_1R = \frac{2}{3}$  M +  $\frac{1}{3}$  F; and 4)  $F_2 = (F_1 + F_1R)/2$  where 'F' and 'M' relate to the assignations of female and male parents in the commercially available Pioneer brand hybrids, respectively. Models 1 and 3 test the goodness of fit of a chromatogram from an  $F_1$  with that of a 2:1 addition of its female : male parents. Model 2 tests the fit of a chromatogram from an  $F_2$  with that of a 1:1 addition of the inbred

Hybrid <sup>a</sup>	Model					
	$\overline{F_1 = \frac{2}{3}F} + \frac{1}{3}M$	$ \begin{array}{c} F_2 = \frac{1}{2} \\ + \frac{1}{2} M \end{array} F $	$ \begin{array}{c} F_1 R = \frac{2}{3} M \\ + \frac{1}{3} F \end{array} $	$F_2 = \frac{F_1 + F_1 R}{F_1 + F_1 R}$		
1	0.83	0.57	0.98	0.88		
2	0.99	0.90	0.35	0.65		
3	1.00	0.01 *	0.98	0.54		
4	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00		
5	1.00	0.94	0.74	1.00		
6	0.99	0.82	0.73	1.00		
7	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00		
8	0.87	1.00	0.99	1.00		
9	0.99	0.27	0.59	0.92		
10	1.00	0.78	0.67	0.93		

 Table 1. Probabilities of a greater chi-square for each of four

 tests of observed against expected chromatograms for each hy 

 brid

<sup>a</sup> Pioneer brand hybrids coded 1-10

\* Significantly different from expected

parents of the  $F_1$  single-cross. Model 4 tests the fit of a chromatogram from an  $F_2$  with that of a 1:1 addition of chromatograms from the  $F_1$  and  $F_1$  reciprocal generations.

# Results

The probabilities of a greater chi-square value for each test of observed versus expected chromatograms are presented in Table 1. Among the 40 comparisons, 39 showed no difference between the observed chromatograms and those that were expected based on the four models. In one instance (for hybrid 3), a difference between observed and expected chromatograms was shown for the fit of  $F_2 = \frac{1}{2} F + \frac{1}{2} M$  (Table 1).

Individual peak areas, chi-square values for these peaks, and chromatograms of inbreds, hybrids, and  $F_2$ sources for hybrid 3 are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 1. (Elution times shown in the figures have not been standardized to elution times of the control genotype.) Peaks 10, 11, and 12 contributed more to the significant difference between observed and expected chromatograms. For peak 10, the male was over-represented in the  $F_1$ , for peak 11 the female was over-represented in the  $F_1$ , and for peak 12, the male was under-represented in the  $F_1$ . These peaks eluted closely together and overlapped (Fig. 1). Peak 10 was not identified as a separate entity in the female inbred but was present as a shoulder of peak 11. Similarly, peak 12 was not detected in the  $F_1$  but was present as a shoulder. The F<sub>2</sub> chromatograms for hybrid 3 clearly showed that peaks 11 and 12 were only shoulders (Fig. 1).

Quantitative and qualitative similarities were exhibited by hybrids 5, 4R (pollinated in the reverse direction to the commercially available hybrid), and 7R (Fig. 2) that had common female parents. However, qualitative differences for peaks eluting between 12 and 16 min dis-





Fig. 2. Chromatograms of hybrids 5, 4R, and 7R (top to bottom, respectively) each with a common female inbred parent

**Table 2a.** Individual peak areas for inbred lines,  $F_1$ ,  $F_1R$ , and  $F_2$  sources for hybrid No. 3

Peak no.	Peak area (%)							
	Female inbred	F <sub>2</sub>	F <sub>1</sub>	Male inbred	F <sub>1</sub> R			
2	0.1224	0.1210	0.1349	0.0000	0.0164			
3	0.0000	0.1860	0.0000	0.0503	0.0000			
4	2.6374	1.5812	1.9728	0.3640	0.8757			
5	1.5474	4.7216	3.4926	7.2996	6.4872			
6	0.1608	0.2571	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
7	0.0000	0.0000	0.5277	1.3033	0.7039			
8	0.0000	0.6634	0.6961	2.7267	1.9204			
9	2.7136	6.0586	4.9554	6.6030	7.3750			
10	0.0000	14.6294	4.4326	8.3012	9.1877			
11	10.7201	0.0000	8.3813	0.0000	0.0000			
12	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.1225	6.1726			
13	21.6642	15.0372	17.4258	10.1048	11.8631			
14	6.5371	6.1741	6.3096	9.1032	7.2699			
15	0.0000	4.8735	2.8680	6.6047	6.2384			
16	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.8248	2.7796			
17	13.4487	6.8951	11.6393	0.0000	2.2069			
18	0.0000	3.1821	0.0000	7.0373	3.9308			
19	7.0874	4.3706	5.5093	0.0000	1.8739			
20	14.7996	10.1326	10.7267	10.4545	8.9808			
21	9.7851	7.8060	8.8437	6.9071	7.7229			
22	0.0000	4.5936	2.9610	5.0224	5.5080			
23	1.2787	4.9525	3.5603	6.9972	6.2269			
24	7.1989	3.7644	5.5628	0.0000	2.6599			
25	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.1641	0.0000			
26	0.2988	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
27	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0092	0.0000			

 Table 2b. Chi-square values<sup>a</sup> for individual peaks for hybrid

 No. 3

Peak no.	Model					
	$F_1 = \frac{2}{3} M + \frac{1}{3} F$	$F_2 = \frac{1}{2} M + \frac{1}{2} F$	$F_1 R = \frac{2}{3} M$ + $\frac{1}{3} F$	$F_2 = (F_1 + F_1 R)/2$		
2	0.035	0.059	0.015	0.027		
3	0.017	1.030	0.034			
4	0.005	0.004	0.054	0.017		
5	0.000	0.020	0.227	0.014		
6	0.107	0.388	0.054			
7	0.020	0.652	0.031	0.616		
8	0.050	0.359	0.006	0.318		
9	0.223	0.421	0.806	0.002		
10	1.003	26.455*	2.412	8.978 *		
11	0.213	5.360*	3.573	4.191 *		
12	2.708	4.061	0.106	3.086		
13	0.008	0.045	0.314	0.011		
14	0.159	0.346	0.116	0.056		
15	0.202	0.747	0.765	0.023		
16	0.942	1.412	0.427	1.390		
17	0.797	0.004	1.155	0.000		
18	2.346	0.032	0.123	0.753		
19	0.130	0.193	0.101	0.125		
20	0.516	0.403	0.717	0.008		
21	0.000	0.035	0.003	0.028		
22	0.989	1.727	1.393	0.030		
23	0.044	0.160	0.253	0.001		
24	0.121	0.008	0.028	0.029		
25	0.055	0.082	0.109			
26	0.199	0.149	0.100			
27	0.003	0.005	0.006			

 $^{\rm a}$  Values asterisked are  $\geq 3.84$  and are singificant at the 0.05 level

tinguished hybrid 4R from hybrids 5 and 7R. Hybrids 5 and 7R differed in their elution profiles at approx 21-22 min. Similarly, although hybrids 6, 1, 2, and 7 have common female parents and shared many qualitative and quantitative characteristics, each chromatogram could be uniquely identified (Fig. 3).

## Discussion

Closely eluting proteins present within the  $F_1$  or  $F_2$  generation may not always be revealed as separate peaks, at least under routine operating conditions of the chromatograph or data integrator. It is, therefore, important to view not only peak areas and percentages in tabular format, but also to observe the chromatograms themselves so that presence of peak shoulders can be verified.

The results from this statistical comparison of inbred lines and single cross  $F_1$ , including reciprocal  $F_1$  ( $F_1R$ ), hybrid chromatograms provided additional support for the evidence that the  $F_1$  chromatographic profile is a close fit to a 2:1 addition of the female:male parental chromatographic profiles (Bietz 1985). This had also

been found previously, at least by visual inspection, for 2-dimensional electrophoretic profiles of zeins (Wall et al. 1984). These data, therefore, fully support the finding that chromatographic profiles of inbred lines and hybrids can be accurately simulated (Bietz 1985). Furthermore, the data presented herein support the contention that chromatographic profiles of F<sub>2</sub> field-grown samples can be accurately simulated (Bietz 1985). This is because RP-HPLC detects the specific contributions of the male and female gametes in both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations. There was no example of a peak appearing in an  $F_2$ source that was not also present in one or both parent lines that were used to make the  $F_1$  from which seed of that F<sub>2</sub> was produced. Even though inbred seed lots were not produced in the same environment as other generations of seed, the results of this study substantiate that source effects are relatively insignificant (Smith and Smith 1986). Thus, chromatographic profiles can be accurately simulated without the necessity for a preplanned program of inbred seed increase coordinated with  $F_1$  or  $F_2$  seed production. It is imperative, however, that inbred seed sources be representative of those used in the production of F<sub>1</sub> seed. Isozyme electrophoresis could be



Fig. 3. Chromatograms of hybrids 6, 1, 2, and 7 (top to bottom, respectively) each with a common female inbred parent

used to check the genotypes of inbred seed lots available for analysis with those that were used to produce the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations.

Previously, two-dimensional electrophoretic profiles of zein proteins (Wall et al. 1984) showed unexpectedly that one protein spot, out of some 25 detected in Mo17, did not have a counterpart in either parent. An additional explanation for that isolated phenomenon to those of mutation and recombination (Wall et al. 1984) or unexpected interaction among genes could be that at least one of the seed sources used in electrophoresis was different from the original parental source either through outcrossing during line maintenance or because of a lack of fixation in one or both of the original parent lines, C103 and CI.187-2. Mo17 was released in 1964 and its parent lines were released prior to 1950 (Henderson 1976). It is, therefore, likely that one or more cycles of seed increase had occurred for these lines prior to the 2-dimensional electrophoretic study (Wall et al. 1984).

RP-HPLC could be useful in registration, certification, and in the checking of hybrid pedigrees. Even in those cases where hybrids shared a common female parent, the zein chromatographic profiles of the hybrids were distinguishable. Bietz (1985) has shown, as have the results of this study, that the chromatographic profile of a single cross hybrid closely resembles both parental lines and shows the predominant influence of the female inbred parent. Thus, the hypothetical female inbred of a single cross hybrid can be partially identified through comparisons of inbred and  $F_1$  chromatograms. Isozymic data could then be used as a check of the pedigree indicated by zein chromatographic data. Chromatography of  $F_2$  field grown samples could also assist in the identification of hybrid pedigree.

RP-HPLC data can, therefore, compliment isozymic data in studies designed to show hybrid pedigree. Isozymic data can uniquely identify 85% of U.S. public inbred lines (Stuber and Goodman 1983). This level of unique identification will most likely be raised with the introduction of additional polymorphic loci (Wendel et al. 1985, 1986) compared to those reported upon by Stuber and Goodman (1983). Chromatographic data can uniquely identify similar percentages of widely used inbred lines so that the complimentary use of isozymic and zein chromatographic data should provide unique characterization for nearly all widely used inbred lines, including lines that are closely related by pedigree (Smith and Smith 1987, 1988a). Concordant identification of the parents of a hybrid by complimentary techniques that assay different gene products minimizes the possibility that such identifications will be in error. If necessary, further analyses could be conducted using DNA descriptors (Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms or RFLP's), 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis, or tests of combining ability from measures of heterosis between hybrids (Troyer et al. 1983; Paszkiewicz et al. 1986) or between inbred lines (United States District Court 1987; Smith and Smith 1988 b). Isozymic and chromatographic data (Smith 1988) would be usefully performed prior to these more expensive and time consuming genetic screening methods. An ability to precisely show the genotypes of inbreds and hybrids is important because it reveals the identity and level of genetic diversity that is available in production and in breeding.

Acknowledgements. S. Wall provided assistance in the statistical computations. Useful suggestions on a preliminary draft were provided by Drs. M. Albertsen, R. McConnell, R. Fincher, and A. Cavalieri.

#### References

- Bietz JA (1985) High performance liquid chromatography: How proteins look in cereals. Cereal Chem 62:201-212
- Henderson CB (1976) Maize research and breeders manual. 8. Illinois Foundation Seeds Inc, Champaign, Ill
- Paszkiewicz SR, Schaffer JA, Darrah LL (1986) Genetic diversity among widely grown maize hybrids in Missouri. Agron Soc (Abstr), p 77
- Smith JSC (1988) Genetic diversity in U.S. hybrid maize germplasm: allozymic and chromatographic evidence. Crop Sci 28:63-69
- Smith JSC, Smith OS (1986) Environmental effects on zein chromatograms of maize inbred lines revealed by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. Theor Appl Genet 71:607-612
- Smith JSC, Smith OS (1987) Associations among inbred lines of maize using electrophoretic, chromatographic, and pedigree data. 1. Multivariate and cluster analysis of data from Lancaster Sure Crop derived lines. Theor Appl Genet 73:654-664
- Smith JSC, Smith OS (1988a) Associations among inbred lines of maize using electrophoretic, chromatographic, and pedigree data. 2. Multivariate and cluster analysis of data from Iowa Stiff Stalk Synthetic derived lines. Theor Appl Genet (in press) 76:39-44
- Smith JSC, Smith OS (1988 b) The description and assessment of distances between inbred lines of maize. II. The utility of morphological, biochemical, and genetic descriptors and a scheme for the testing of distinctiveness between inbred lines. Maydica (in review)
- Soave C, Salamini F (1983) Genetic organization and regulation of maize storage proteins. In: Dussant J, Mosse J, Vaughan J (eds) Seed proteins. Academic Press, London, pp 205-218
- Stuber CW, Goodman MM (1983) Allozyme genotypes for popular and historically important inbred lines of corn, Zea mays L. USDA-ARS ARR-S-16 USDA, New Orleans, La
- Troyer AF (1986) United States Patent. Inbred Corn Line. Patent No 4,594,810, Appl No 703,589, Filed Feb 21, 1985
- Troyer AF, Openshaw SJ, Knittle KH (1983) Measurement of genetic diversity among commercial corn hybrids: method. Am Agron Soc Meeting 1983 (Abstr), p 83
- United States District Court (1987) Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc, an Iowa corporation, Plaintiff versus Holden Foundation Seed Inc, Defendants. US District Court, Southern District of Iowa. Case No 81-60-E, 108 pp
- Viotti A, Sala E, Marotta R, Alberi P, Balducci C, Soave C (1979) Genes and mRNAs coding for zein polypeptides in Zea mays. Eur J Biochem 102:211-222
- Wall JS, Fey DA, Paulis JW (1984) Improved two-dimensional electrophoretic separation of zein proteins: application to study of zein inheritance in corn genotypes. Cereal Chem 61:141-146
- Wendel JF, Goodman MM, Stuber CW (1985) Mapping data for 34 isozyme loci currently being studied. Maize Genet Coop Newslett 59:90
- Wendel JF, Goodman MM, Stuber CW (1986) Additional mapping of isozyme loci: localization of Acp4, Dia2, Adk1, Tpi3 and Sad1. Maize Genet Coop Newslett 60:109-110
- Wilson CM (1984) Isoelectric focusing of zein in agarase. Cereal Chem 61:198-200